## THE OUTER DARKNESS

## THE FIRMAMENT Part 2

He made darkness his secret place; his pavilion round about him were dark waters and thick clouds of the skies. (Psalm 18:11)

And cast ye the unprofitable servant into outer darkness: there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth. When the Son of man shall come in his glory, and all the holy angels with him, then shall he sit upon the throne of his glory: (Matt 25:30-31)



The above is a picture <u>taken from a balloon</u> at about 110,000 feet. Far higher than the most advanced aircraft can fly. This is the "edge of space".

There are many such videos available on the net. They all appear, more or less, to consistently depict the heaven and the earth as you see it here. Above the atmosphere, the blue sky, as we know it, disappears into a void of absolute darkness.

Incidentally, there are a number of mainstream theories as to why the sky appears blue—all of them ridiculous. Internally inconstant and contradictory hypotheses based on light absorption and "scattering" which do not hold up to any scrutiny, we are largely left to our imaginations to devise a reason for what we perceive with our eyes. In fact, both the *brightness* of the sky and

the *blueness* of the sky truly <u>remains an enigma</u>. Despite the dodgy, ad-hoc, mathematical speculations given on Wikipedia, (which amount to circular arguments), the truth is nobody has a satisfactory *mechanical explanation* for what we observe. Equations are simply built around the data to conceal the fact that they have no valid theories. Much like the rest of theoretical physics.

Before actual human eyes could ever see beyond our sky (that is, once lifted above the atmosphere—first in balloons) we could only speculate as to what we would observe. Unmanned rockets had, we are told, reached altitudes beyond the atmosphere by the 1940's (the German V2-A4, first in 1942), and some had cameras on board to photograph the earth below and heaven above. However, cameras at the time, under those conditions, could not capture great detail—and certainly not the stars and celestial bodies. We simply did not know what *could* be seen, or how the heavens might appear to our eyes. Already, physicists had proposed theories which denied that *anything* could be seen... In fact, that "space" would appear exactly as the modern balloon-cams have captured it.

## Light Is Invisible In Outer Space, By Howard W. Blakeslee NEW YORK (AP,-Under a new theory of light, the space ship starting for the moon won't be able to see it after leaving the earth's atmosphere. Stranger still, the ship no longer will be able to see the sun. This theory says that visible light is not emitted by the sun. | ri The sun's rays are something that FR turns into light in the atmos- SA phere. These rays are invisible even after being reflected from the moon, and remain so until they enter the air. The author of the theory is Horace Winfield Webster, Detroit, Mich. He is a research physicist astronomer, graduated in 1907 from Johns Hopkins, where he majored in geology. The rays from the sun, he says, are gamma radiation, which is the shortest wave length form of light. Gamma rays are identical with X-rays and are equally invisible to the eye. Gamma rays come only from the nuclei, or cores, of atoms. No other sort of light rays are emitted by these atomic centers. All the other rays from nuclei are made of small particles. Webster says this gamma ray from atomic cores is the only kind of light whose source is known with certainty, and he assumes that it is the source of visible light. The space ship navigator, under Webster's theory could see the moon by using a fluoroscopic screen to change the gamma rays into visible light. He could see the sun in the same manner. But if this theory is right, space

Thus it was, that when the very first manned "space mission" was successfully launched, the "astronauts" were fortunate enough to have a *video* camera with them which was evidently far more technologically advanced than any NASA has today—in that it could easily and perfectly capture the stars which appeared as they lifted above the atmosphere and peered out into "space" for the very first time... And would you believe it, it was from a *balloon*.

Indeed, in December, 1962, Project Stargazer launched from the New Mexico desert for the intended purpose of viewing and studying the *cosmos*. With a "telescope and custom

instruments," the astronauts were able to capture the <u>first official footage of "outer space"</u>. Now, the careful observer will say, "that's obviously not a real film of space...you can see stars *inside* the crescent moon, for crying out loud". But, that is in fact the claim. Here it is again, and look—the astronaut even has on his <u>cowboy helmet</u> as he climbs into the capsule.

Coincidentally, a few years later Stanley Kubrick (before he began making moon landing films for NASA), produced the classic *Dr. Strangelove*, in which he depicts a character oddly reminiscent of that fellow. Here he is at the end riding the ICBM missile like a stallion:



But how can this be? "Stargazer" was an incredibly historic event. Isn't there a Wikipedia or a NASA page devoted to this, to explain this oddity of a "film" taken by these cosmic balloonists? Well, no... Nothing but crickets. Wiki gives the whole mission only honorable mention <a href="here">here</a>, in one paragraph. I suppose the original "film", like an embarrassing word misspoken, can't be pulled back. It's out there, been seen and recopied a thousand times and hopes of a clean scrub of the evidence are futile now—so like the proverbial fart in the elevator, it is simply ignored. Either that, or their "custom instruments" and fine telescopic cameras failed to record anything of value. Such has been NASA's long history of failures in <a href="forgetting to archive">forgetting to archive</a> mankind's greatest achievements in the exploration of "space".

One explanation deserves mention, though, as <u>this site</u> asserts as evidence that NASA did *not* "fake space" by proposing that the Stargazer imagery *is* fake. See how that works? Perhaps that's where Elon Musk got his idea when he orbited a Tesla Roadster, telling us '<u>the faker it looks</u>, the realer it is'.

But meanwhile, *during that time period*, sharp space-history buffs will remember that NASA already had the X-15 rocket-plane up and flying. While those two cowboys were drifting up in a gondolier to a magnificent 82,000 feet filming Disney cartoons on a reel-to-reel camera, twelve crack pilots were busy flying the world's first rocket plane to altitudes above *250,000 feet*, at

speeds above 4,500 miles per hour! In fact, earlier that year, in July of 1962, Robert M. White allegedly made it to 60 miles high.

The X-15 even had a special film turret to house the modern high resolution cameras in the tail of the plane. We'll get to the purpose of this film later, but just mention the fact here that none of that footage was ever released to the public...



If you are beginning to sense some flimflammery is going on, don't worry, it just indicates you still have a functioning brain. Something is *clearly* amiss here. As we continue our exploration, the deception will begin to jell for you, and I promise we'll make it through with our minds intact. Lord willing.

But, consider—is it possible that no human has actually ever made it into "space"? Or, what if, at the great heights of the edge of our atmosphere and beyond, it was found that craft could not maneuver, aerodynamic control lost all effectiveness, and even rocket thrust—as a means of propulsion—ceased to exist? And moreover, what if, in fact, visible light from stars could not be seen with the natural eye? Perhaps in the night—but in the day, the sky was ink-black? What if even the sun and the moon could not be seen above certain heights? What if the only thing visible was the reflected light of the earth below? In such a case, even in ballistic flight (on an unguided trajectory back to the earth), self-location and navigation—even if guided flight were possible—would be simply impossible and preclude ANY further exploration of anything. Simply stated, in an infinitely black realm, no one would know where they were or where they were going. A hypothetical astronaut would be hopelessly lost and in a terror of

confusion. Imagine what that would be like... Now imagine the experience on the night portion of the earth if stars were not visible... *Absolute* outer darkness.

Unlike NASA's cosmological models, this theory IS disprovable, which makes it a valid one. Simply, a well-fitted modern camera, mounted in the upward position, launched under a balloon in the night, could record stars. So why hasn't it been done?

To my knowledge, there is but one known "amateur" balloon <u>video</u> purportedly taken above 70,000 feet, at night, showing video footage of stars (as well as the city lights below). Unfortunately, this easily faked footage was probably just that. In fact, this "amateur", one Mr. Julian Wessel, is a known and outed <u>fraudster</u> amongst his *very own peers*. But with all of our modern recording technology, why the need for deception at all? This question will come up again...

Finally we'll conclude by way of an introduction to our next installment.



## Do These Guys Look Excited or What?

This is an image from the Post Flight Press Conference on Tuesday, 12 August 1969, in Houston, Texas. What had just occurred was, allegedly, the most astounding feat human beings had ever accomplished... A trip to the moon and back, and a *walkabout* thereon! Here we see the three men who'd—*just days before*—completed their voyage to another celestial body, in an unprecedented and unimaginable adventure enviable by every man who ever lived since Adam.

Buzz Aldrin, Neil Armstrong and Michael Collins are here interviewed, and <u>in this segment</u> they are asked if they'd seen the stars, no doubt anticipating a *fantastic* description of the heavens

from "space," and how the earth appeared in the distance, like a bright jewel hung inside the glimmering nest of the milky way. It must have been a most transcendent experience... Yet, they don't "recall" seeing any. Nothing at all. In fact, it seems that it hardly occurred to them to even look at the heavens, and only now with the question being asked did they seem to consider such a trivial matter. But, no, upon reflection, there was nothing to see up there. Here, in perfect deadpan by the puppet-actors it is revealed to the world, as Pink Floyd would tell it just a few years later—"there is no dark side of the moon, really... In fact, it's all dark."

Perhaps this is the principle reason for NASA's fraud in its entirety. Initially, the "Space Program" was purely a money laundering scheme—a cash cow worth hundreds of billions of dollars, to milk the tax-paying rubes for the crime of the century. But then, in the early days of rocketry exploration, testing the upper borders of our earth, a mystery had been learned. An incredible, awesome, and *dangerous* mystery—should it ever become known... That things were not as they seemed (or as the atheist cosmologists had told us)... That the earth appears to be isolated from the heavens in such a way in which not only do we NOT physically have access to "space", but *the heavens themselves cease to exist* to our physical senses above the ceiling of our sky.

What if darkness *remains* upon "the face of the deep". Men upon the earth were given light, but beyond, Yahweh "dwells in thick darkness", separated by fathomless waters above us. As "the ark of God, which dwelleth within curtains" (2 Samuel 7:2), so too, Yahweh hides Himself from His creation...lest the wicked see His glory. If this were known by the godless "powers in high places", it would have to be concealed, at all costs. Whatever it is that those powers within NASA *do know*, it is enough to blow their cover—to reveal the impotence of their authority, leaving them at great risk that now 'all men will believe on God: and they shall come and take away both our place and nation', alas, bringing them to nothing... like the unprofitable servant, cast out into that desolate place of their own invention.

Have ye not known? have ye not heard? hath it not been told you from the beginning? have ye not understood from the foundations of the earth? It is he that sitteth upon the circle of the earth, and the inhabitants thereof are as grasshoppers; that stretcheth out the heavens as a curtain, and spreadeth them out as a tent to dwell in: That bringeth the princes to nothing; he maketh the judges of the earth as vanity. (Isaiah 40-21-23)