IN THE 39TH CIRCUIT COURT OF LAWRENCE COUNTY, MISSOURI

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
Plaintiff)	
)	
VS.)	Case No. XXXXXXXXXXX
)	
XXXXXX X. XXXXXXXXX (false name) ¹)	
Defendant)	
Charlie Steward (true name))	

MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST THE ALLEGED DEFENDANT, OR TO ME, Charlie Steward

Charlie Steward now moves for a Motion to Dismiss in the above entitled case. I move this matter be dismissed immediately with prejudice.

A. It is an undisputed fact that the state has never proven a statutory relationship between the named defendant and myself - though it has been demanded for more than a year.

- B. Even if there was a relationship and the record corrected, notwithstanding, it is impossible for a man to forge his own name. Even if there was a relationship and the record was corrected, notwithstanding, multiple affirmative defenses apply.
- C. The men acting as agents for the STATE have failed to present any evidence whatsoever to support any "purpose to defraud" pressed upon the record.

D. The warrant, the information, and all subsequent filings are fatally defective in that they fail to list the name of the accused in addition to the name the state's actors claims is the name of the defendant. [RSMO 545.230]

The supporting documents for this cause are detailed in "SUPPLEMENTAL POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS," "BRIEF ON NAMES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS," and "MEMORANDUM OF LAW."

This **Motion to Dismiss** has been hand delivered to the following people at the following addresses:

Steven W. Kahre [Cf. Clerk of Court] Lawrence County Judicial Center, Mt Vernon, Mo Don Trotter [Cf. Prosecutor] Lawrence County Judicial Center, Mt Vernon, Mo Jack Aaron Louis Goodman [Judge] Lawrence County Judicial Center, Mt Vernon, Mo

Charlie Steward	Witnesses	

On this 19th day of February in the Year of our LORD and Savior Jesus, the Christ, 2018, I, Charlie Steward, being a mature man of sound mind, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing was prepared by me, Charlie Steward and it is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I am willing to testify to the facts as I have stated them.

I do not claim to be an attorney, do not claim to be pro se, and have not waived assistance of counsel, respectfully requests the court to grant leeway as they may relate to any errors in form or failure to follow court rules. I have done my best to try to follow the court rules as I understand them. Though not acting pro se, I believe [Haines v. Keaner, et al. 404 U.S. 519,92 s. Ct. 594,30 L. Ed. 2d 652] should equally apply and that I should be held to less stringent standards than that of a lawyer.

Charlie Steward

FOOTNOTES

1. XXXXXX X. XXXXXX. See Notice of Specific Negative Averment, filed for the record in this matter, dated September 15, 2016, points 1,2,4 and 5.